

Sun 15 - Sat 21 January 2023 Boston, Massachusetts, United States

Statically Resolvabl Ambiguity

DISTINGUISHED D	
ble	VIKTOR PALMKVIST, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sw ELIAS CASTEGREN, Uppsala University, Sweden PHILIPP HALLER, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden DAVID BROMAN, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
	Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages, Volume 7, Issue POPL, Article No.: 58pp 1686–1712, https://doi.org/ 10.1145/3571251



Meta Data & Stats

- **Conference:** POPL
 - Track: Program Analysis & Parsing
 - **Year:** 2023
- **Number of Authors:** 4
 - **Citations:** 0
 - Pages (PDF): 27
 - Figures: 10
 - **References:** 30
 - **Formals:** 3 definitions, 6 lemmas, 1 theorem

What is the Study About?

An approach to work with less strict, ambiguous programming language grammars, which defers ambiguity resolution until parsing time and allows user to specify how resolution is done.

Table of Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Motivating Resolvable Ambiguity and Overview

2.1. Motivating Resolvable Ambiguity

2.2. The Importance of Static Guaranties for Resolvability

2.3. Overview of Our Approach

- 3. A Formal Semantics for Explicit and Implicit Grouping
- 4. Static Resolvability and Its Mechanized Proof

4.1.Proof Outline

4.2. Mechanization

- 5. Adapting OCaml Expressions to Use a Grouper 5.1.Challenges
- 6. Implementation and Evaluation
 - 6.1.Grouping as a Library
 - 6.2.Re-implementing Expressions in OCaml
 - 6.3.A Parser Generator for DSLs Using Resolvable Ambiguity
- 7. Related Work
- 8. Conclusion



1.§. Contributions of the Study

- * Novel approach of implicit and explicit grouping to resolve ambiguities
- * Mechanized proof of correctness in Coq
- * Reusable library

olicit grouping to resolve ambiguities Coq

2. Motivating Resolvable Ambiguity and Overview

- Ambiguity example: 1&3==1 leads to 1&(3==1) and (1&3)==1
- Define ambiguous (def 2.1) and resolvable (def 2.2) programs.
- and new operations in DSL.
- Static guarantee that all syntactically valid programs are resolvable.

• Ambiguity from three angles: pre-existing languages, custom operators in libs



2.3. Approach Overview

- Embedding the approach in a *conventional parser*.
- Introduction of running example.
- Splittable productions, operator sequence, grouping
- Static resolvability (restrictions): split productions as linked list; first/non-first operator partitioning.

3. Formal Semantics for Grouping

- Formal definitions (bind, grouping, rules)
- Consistently split grouping specification (def 3.1)

4. Static Resolvability and Its Mechanized Proof

- Statement: consistently split grouping specification => resolvable ambiguities
- Proof outline. Lemma 4.1. Lemma 4.2. Lemma 4.3. Lemma 4.4. Lemma 4.5. Lemma 4.6. Static Resolvability Theorem (Theorem 4.7).
- Proof mechanisation using Coq/TLC library (~7000 lines). Re-writing formalisation in Coq.



5. Adapting OCaml Expressions to Use a Grouper

- Modification of Menhir parser generator used in OCaml compiler
- Challenges: two meanings of `;` in OCaml; *if-then-else* as split production; *match* as a split production; splitting records



6. Implementation and Evaluation

- complexity.
- case overhead: 6X (0.001/0.006).
- Parser generator for DSLs.

• Grouping as a library. MCore/OCaml-like language, ~800 lines. Compute Shared Packed *Parse Forest* representing valid trees. Compute partial ambiguity resolutions with O(n)

• Use the library to re-implement OCaml expression language. ~0.04 % failure rate. Worst

7. Related Work

- static guarantees.
- 2005), (Parr, 2011), (Parr, 2014), (Lang, 1974), (Scott, 2010), (Early, 1970).

• Prior work (Palmkvist, 2021) of the same authors on resolvable ambiguity: more expressive formalism, no

• Formalisms of Precedence. (Floyd, 1963) Similarity to operator-precedence grammars (OPGs). (Aasa, 1995) is strongly unambiguous. (Afroozeh, 2013) Precedence through grammar rewrites - more verbose. (Afroozeh, 2015) Data-dependant grammars - more restrictive. (Danielsson, 2011) Mixfix operators - more unrestrictive.

• Other approaches to ambiguity. (Ford, 2004) Unambiguous formalism. Parser generators solutions: (Pottier,



8. Conclusion

Issues

- Formal specification are hard to comprehend (gaps?)

• Why do we need this and how to apply the theory of resolvable ambiguity?





Keywords & Terms